EVERY FOUR YEARS, WE CAN ELECT LEADERS WHO TAKE ACTION FOR A CLEANER, SAFER, HEALTHIER DETROIT.

IT'S TIME TO ACT. GET INFORMED.
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We stand on the collective shoulders of Detroit's environmental and environmental justice community. The organizations and individuals involved in this phase of creating a Detroit Environmental Agenda acknowledge that we work in collaboration with many other organizations and individuals who have a deep commitment to environmental justice and improving the environment in Detroit, and that the Environmental Summit on May 5, 2011, was the impetus for developing a Detroit Environmental Agenda.
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DETROIT IS A CITY OF POSSIBILITY.

It is the city where the car was invented, developed, and brought to the masses. It is a city of resilient people who represent great diversity and cultural richness. It is a city with valuable natural resources worth preserving. We know how to do, to make, to improve. History has brought us to a moment where Detroit faces tremendous economic, social, and environmental challenges, compounded by the massive exodus of people and capital from the city over the last 50 years. And we continue to be a city of possibility.

The Detroit Environmental Agenda (DEA) focuses on interlinked social, environmental and economic injustices, such as low graduation rates, youth violence, the worst health rankings in the state, inadequate access to transportation, high obesity, lack of access to jobs that provide a living wage, many square miles of abandoned contaminated land depleted of its richness and beauty, toxic air, and polluted rivers and streams.

As citizens of Detroit, we must take the future into our hands. Many have engaged in small- and large-scale efforts throughout the city to educate youth, deconstruct and weatherize homes, create bountiful gardens, clean up rivers, fight air pollution, and clean up and beautify abandoned lots. To expand this important work throughout the city, we must insist that our elected leaders prioritize the interconnectedness of the environmental, social, and economic challenges that our city faces. They must create policies and programs within the city of Detroit, region, and state that benefit all residents.

We require decision-makers who take stands for enforcing the laws that: keep our city clean and safe; mandate departments to work together for smarter strategies that reduce pollution and waste while increasing the quality of service; invest in creating an environment that keeps Detroiters healthier, more productive, more informed, and more employable. We need decision-makers committed to creating city policies and systems that effectively harness the abundant energy and desire to engage that exist in our neighborhoods. By insisting our leaders adopt the right policies, we CAN have a clean environment, healthy citizens, and a strong economy.

Detroiters have crafted the Detroit Environmental Agenda to say “NO MORE” to the unacceptable conditions that exist within our community. Through an online survey and meetings over two years, Detroit residents throughout the city have identified major environmental concerns and proposed solutions in their neighborhoods. This was the start to the process and this living document. The DEA represents the voices of a diverse range of stakeholders in Detroit’s environment, ranging from ordinary citizens to activists, policy wonks to on-the-ground implementers.
Principles guiding the agenda:

- Ensure environmental justice: protect and involve vulnerable populations in decisions that impact their lives
- Protect and restore Earth’s resources: land, water, air
- Engage affected residents to understand community needs and potential impacts
- Leverage the connections between environmental solutions and health, safety, jobs, and education
- Build on successful models in Detroit and from elsewhere

The Detroit Environmental Agenda provides the tools for conversations that we must have with policy-makers, institutional and business leaders, not-for-profit organizations, and all citizens of the city of Detroit. As we near council by district and mayoral elections, candidates will be asked to respond to this Agenda through a questionnaire. We urge Detroit residents to push for its adoption and implementation once leaders are elected. The presence of the Emergency Manager demands that citizens use the strongest mechanisms of accountability to ensure that the acts of the EM help accomplish the goals. Similarly, the implementation of Detroit Future City requires active engagement so that the citizens and the environment of Detroit will benefit from this massive investment.

The DEA is organized so that the reader can read those sections of interest:

- In Section 1, we describe the purpose of the report, the community consultation that forms the basis of the report, and present the basic principles that undergird the overall project
- In Section 2, we provide an executive summary of our recommendations
- In Section 3, we connect priority issues such as public safety, city infrastructure, and economics to environmental factors
- In Section 4, we highlight opportunities in existing policy
- In Section 5, we describe three local policy initiatives, and highlight environmental opportunities in the revised City Charter and Detroit Future City Strategic Framework Plan.
- In Section 6, we define commonly used environmental terms in a Glossary

Thank you for taking the time to read and engage with the Detroit Environmental Agenda. We hope you see some of your efforts reflected in the document.

The DEA will give you information to talk with your family, your neighbors, your policy-makers and your leaders about the social, environmental, and economic well-being of Detroit. When we all work together, we create a better future.

We can do it.
PRINCIPLES

1. Ensure environmental justice: protect and involve vulnerable populations in decisions that impact their lives

2. Protect and restore Earth’s resources: land, water, air

3. Engage affected residents to understand community needs and potential impacts

4. Leverage the connections between environmental solutions and health, safety, jobs, and education

5. Build on successful models in Detroit and from elsewhere

Detroit must recognize the role a healthy environment plays in creating a city where people want to live, work, and raise a family.
The Detroit Environmental Agenda project originated from the 2011 Environmental Summit held to share resident and advocate concerns and ideas about the environment with City officials and the Detroit Works Project (now Detroit Future City). Feedback from that event has helped to shape the DEA principles and recommendations.

In community meetings and interviews in 2012, residents expressed a common desire for funding and assistance to implement green solutions in their own communities, such as solar lighting for parks, a deconstruction job training program, a tire-recycling company, a green industrial park, and green infrastructure projects.

They also wanted more information and education about environmental conditions, what individual actions they could take to remedy the problem, and how to access city departments for help in resolving the issues, including the appropriate authority and contact information. With land-based issues, residents were greatly interested in recent online interactive tools such as Data Driven Detroit’s tax auction map and Loveland Technologies’ parcel ownership and tax status map.

While some residents were interested in the details of city policies that could alleviate neighborhood environmental challenges, many more were concerned with seeing tangible improvements in their communities.
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Central District Police Community Relations: 30 attendees
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District 6 Environmental Meeting: 85 attendees
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Art Center CDC: 12 attendees
District 6 Environmental Meeting: 85 attendees
Survey Response

Small Partner Meeting
Small Partner or Community Meeting (20 Attendees or Fewer)
Large Community Meeting (Up to 156 Attendees)

Source: Detroiters Working for Environmental Justice; Data Driven Detroit, Updated by DWEJ April 2013
The Detroit Environmental Agenda distributed a public survey asking Detroit residents to rate the seriousness of various environmental challenges and solutions in their neighborhoods. Their responses were analyzed by district.

Surveys were distributed and collected in person at neighborhood and block club meetings, and online through community organizations’ e-newsletters and websites. We received a total of 480 responses, including 14 from nonresidents. District 1 had the highest number of responses (155), followed by District 6 (93). The fewest number of responses came from District 2 (28).

Respondents were asked to rate 33 different environmental challenges. The possible scores were grouped into “Serious” or “Medium” problem, “Minor” or “Not a problem,” or “I don’t know.”

The overall results were influenced by the high response rate from District 1, as well as our instructions to ask respondents to consider the various issues in their own neighborhood.

The top issues in each district were noted, and 11 issues appeared in at least 4 out of the 7 districts top 10 issues. 7 out of 7 districts rated Crime, Dangerous Structures, and Vacant Land Not Cared For as “Medium” or “Serious” problems. 6 out of 7 districts rated High Heating Bills and Litter as “Medium” or “Serious” problems. 4 out of 7 districts rated Hard to Get Places Without a Car, Illegal Dumping, Infrequent Buses, No Recycling, No Youth Activities, and Not Safe to Walk as “Medium” or “Serious” problems.

Based on the number of “I don’t know” responses in the survey, respondents were least aware of water and soil pollution, as well as the extent of environmental health impacts in their neighborhoods. (Respondents were most aware of transportation challenges and waste issues such as litter and the lack of convenient recycling.) The four issues with the highest number of “I don’t know” responses (out of 480 responses) were: fish contamination (154), lead poisoning (129), sewage in rivers (122), and polluted sites (101).

The diagram to the right shows the top 10 issues in each district, ranked by percentage of the district’s respondents who rated the issue as a “Medium” or “Serious” problem.

**TOP ISSUES OF IMPORTANCE**
Frequency of ranking in a district’s top 10 by percentage of “Medium” or “Serious” ratings

1. Crime (Districts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7)
2. Dangerous structures (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7)
3. Vacant land not cared for (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7)
4. High heating bills (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7)
5. Litter (1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7)
6. Hard to get places without a car (1, 2, 4, 5)
7. Infrequent buses (1, 2, 5, 6)
8. Not safe to walk (1, 3, 5, 7)
9. Illegal dumping (2, 3, 4, 7)
10. No recycling (3, 5, 6, 7)
11. No youth activities (2, 3, 4, 5)

**ISSUES OF LOWEST PUBLIC AWARENESS**
Frequency of “I don’t know” responses above 25%

1. Lead poisoning (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7)
2. Contaminated fish (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7)
3. Sewage in rivers, waterways (1, 2, 3, 5, 6)
4. Asthma (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)
5. Illegal or inappropriate business operations (1, 3, 6, 7)
District 1 (N=155)
Crime 90%
Vacant land not cared for 77%
Hard to get places without a car 77%
High heating bills 75%
Infrequent buses 70%
Litter 69%
High water bills 67%
Unsafe for cyclists 66%
Not safe to walk 66%
Dangerous structures 65%

District 2 (N=28)
High heating bills 89%
Crime 82%
Hard to get places without a car 82%
High water bills 75%
No youth activities 71%
Infrequent buses 68%
Unsafe for cyclists 68%
Vacant land not cared for 68%
Illegal dumping 64%
Schools, stores too far to walk to 64%

District 3 (N=50)
Dangerous structures 88%
Crime 86%
Litter 86%
Vacant land not cared for 86%
High heating bills 84%
No youth activities 82%
Illegal dumping 80%
High water bills 78%
Not safe to walk 72%
No recycling 70%

District 4 (N=35)
Litter 97%
Dangerous structures 89%
High heating bills 85%
Vacant land not cared for 85%
Crime 85%
Broken sidewalks 83%
Illegal dumping 80%
No youth activities 80%
Hard to get places without a car 77%
Schools too far to walk or bike to 77%

District 5 (N=69)
Vacant land not cared for 87%
High heating bills 84%
Dangerous structures 82%
Crime 78%
Hard to get places without a car 77%
No recycling 77%
Litter 72%
Infrequent buses 71%
Broken sidewalks 70%
Not safe to walk 68%
No youth activities 68%

District 6 (N=93)
Industry pollution 86%
No recycling 82%
Truck pollution 82%
Vacant land not cared for 82%
Crime 81%
Litter 81%
Asthma 79%
Dangerous structures 77%
Bad smells 76%
Infrequent buses 74%

District 7 (N=36)
Vacant land not cared for 100%
Dangerous structures 97%
Crime 94%
Litter 94%
Illegal dumping 89%
No recycling 89%
High heating bills 86%
Not safe to walk 83%
Sewage in rivers, waterways 78%
Broken sidewalks 75%

Top 10 Issues per District